

President: Joan Laursen
Clerk: Jeff Bowser
Member: Chris Grant

Member: Jamie Hintzke
Member: Valerie Arkin
Student Member: Shreya Gupta

***DISCLAIMER:** Board Briefs are NOT a transcription, minutes, nor a word-for-word account, but a basic summary of information reported at Board meetings.*

The entire Board agenda and packet, including any presentations are available online at www.pleasanton.k12.ca.us/BoardofTrustees/MeetingsAgendas.cfm

Item 8.1: Election of Officers- President

Every year the Board of Trustees holds election of officers to appoint a new President of the Board.

Action on Item 8.1: The Board voted 3 to 2 to appoint Joan Laursen as President of the Board.

Item 8.2: Election of Officers- Clerk

Every year the Board of Trustees holds election of officers to appoint a new Clerk of the Board.

Action on Item 8.2: The Board voted 3 to 2 to appoint Jeff Bowser as Clerk of the Board.

Item 19.1: Report and Discussion of the Advanced Placement Assessment Results - Report by Cindy Galbo-Lorimer

Annually, the staff presents to the Board of Trustees the results of major assessments. This report will highlight the performance of Pleasanton students in terms of their Advanced Placement (AP) results. The following pages provide a summary of AP testing results by subject area and performance level.

The Advanced Placement (AP) exams are another type of standardized test. However, these tests are taken only by a certain group of students through a self-selection process. The AP exams are aligned with a national curriculum and are administered on the same day across the country. Students enrolled in AP classes in the district receive weighted grades (an additional 1.0 GPA for the course grade).

The AP exams are scored on a scale of 1 to 5, with 3 considered as "passing" score. Many universities and colleges award students credits and/or advanced standing for a score of 3 or higher. The percentage of students scoring at 3 or higher continues to stay in a high range district-wide at the same time the number of students taking the AP exams is rising. This trend means that more and more Pleasanton students graduate with the distinction of success in an academically rigorous program.

Action on Item 19.1: The Board received the report of the Advanced Placement Assessment Results and gave input as appropriate. No action was required for this item. Presentation is online.

Item 19.2: Report and Discussion on an Energy Management Proposal for a Solar Power Purchase Agreement
- Report by Luz T. Cázares

Our Director of Facilities, Maintenance, Operations and Transportation, Larry Lagatta, has put together a team of energy experts (i.e., Bill Radulovich/RADiant Alternative Designs; Brett Illers/Information and Energy Service; and Andrea Schumer/PGE) to assist us in developing a comprehensive energy management plan. The plan is aimed at reducing maintenance/operations costs, reducing our carbon footprint, and continuing to model environmental responsibility to our community.

Our energy management plan integrates various aspects of sustainability, including renewable energy, energy conservation, smart energy management technology, and behavioral/educational programs. The projects are independent of each other, so the District can select projects as well the scale and timing at its discretion.

At this point, the District is considering a project that falls under the renewable energy category and expands upon our existing solar energy projects. Specifically, our Energy Management Team sought proposals for a 707KW solar parking system for the District. All PUSD sites were evaluated. Three sites were identified as the most cost-effective with consideration made for tree removal and aesthetics. The identified sites are the parking lots of Hart Middle School, Fairlands Elementary School and Village High School. An aerial view of the proposed locations is included (slides 2 through 4).

Our Energy Management Team sent bid specifications to selected vendors. Four vendors responded and the proposals of the two finalists were presented to Cabinet. (A side-by-side comparison of the two finalists is included, (slides 9 & 10).) After reviewing the proposals and the recommendation of our Energy Management Team, Cabinet agreed to bring forward the finalist, Solar City, to the Board for consideration and direction. Highlights of the proposal include:

- The District does not bear any up-front cost for the construction and installation of the systems.
- The vendor operates the system and performs all repairs (i.e., routine and emergency) at its own expense for the 20-year term of the agreement.
- The project may help the District avoid \$3.7M of new costs across 20 years (with a net present value of \$1.9M)

Consideration of this item is time sensitive for two reasons. First and foremost, Solar City has indicated that they will raise the power purchase prices by approximately 11% after January 1, 2012. This increase stems from the vendor's understanding of SB 136. SB 136 was signed by the Governor on November 9, 2011 and becomes effective on January 1, 2012. In essence, it requires solar vendors to pay prevailing wages.

Second, the proposed power purchase prices assume the District is entitled to a rebate under the California Solar Initiative (CSI). These rebate dollars are finite and applications are put in queue upon receipt. If the rebate is not received, the power purchase prices will increase.

Staff is asking the Board for direction on this proposal. No action is recommended at this time. If the Board would like to take action to pursue the proposal, a special board meeting would need to be scheduled before the end of the calendar year. That meeting would require a public hearing and a public notice of the hearing at least two weeks in advance.

To assist with the review of this proposal, our Energy Management Team has prepared an Executive Summary that provides additional detail regarding the process and results. In addition, an FAQ is included to explain PPAs, including our recent experience with PPAs and associated risks. The spreadsheet developed by the Team comparing the proposals of the two finalists is also included. Finally, a draft contract for each site is included.

Budget information is available on the website:

<http://www.pleasanton.k12.ca.us/BusinessServices/Budget/>

Action on Item 19.2: The Board received the report on an Energy Management Proposal for a Solar Power Purchase Agreement and gave input as appropriate. Staff will follow up with trustees regarding clarifying questions. No action was required for this item.

Item 20.1: Report, Discussion, and Possible Action to Approve New and Revised Grade Nine-Twelve Course Outlines
- Report by Cindy Galbo-Lorimer

As part of the ongoing District effort to provide a variety of course options to meet the needs of individual students, the Curriculum Department worked with high school teachers and administrators and Julie Duncan, Coordinator of Career and Technical Education, to present the following new courses: Introduction to Engineering Design, Principals of Engineering, Catering (revision), Culinary Arts, Culinary Skills, Honors Chemistry, and Science Research in the Classroom. Copies of the course outlines will be provided to the Board under separate cover. This information is also available on our website.

Action on Item 20.1: The Board received and unanimously approved the New and Revised Grade Nine-Twelve Course Outlines except Chemistry at Foothill, which was pulled in order to give staff more time to review that item.

Item 20.2 Report, Discussion and Possible Action to Certify a Positive Status of Budget After First Interim Report.
- Report by Luz T. Cázares

The Education Code requires school districts to prepare interim financial reports as of October 31 and January 31 of each fiscal year. The First Interim Report for all Funds as of October 31, 2011 is enclosed.

Action on Item 20.2: The Board voted unanimously to accept the report and to Certify a Positive Status of Budget After First Interim Report.

Item 20.3 Report, Discussion and Possible Action to Approve the Annual Renewal of the Contract with YMCA of the East Bay to Provide After School Child Care at Lydiksen, Fairlands and Mohr Elementary Schools. (This is the third year of the previously approved three year contract through December 31, 2012).
- Report by Luz T. Cázares

On December 16, 2009, the Board approved a contract with the YMCA of the East Bay for the provision of after school child care at Lydiksen, Fairlands and Mohr Elementary Schools. The terms of the agreement were for an initial one-year term. The agreement also provided that its provisions could be extended by mutual agreement also provided that its provisions could be extended by mutual agreement of the parties for two additional one-year terms.

When the Board approved the agreement, it did so for a period of three years (January 1, 2010 through December 31, 2012). As a procedural matter however, the Board's approval is required annually to continue the terms of the agreement.

Action on Item 20.3: The Board voted unanimously to accept the report and to approve the Annual Renewal of the Contract with YMCA of the East Bay to Provide After School Child Care at Lydiksen, Fairlands and Mohr Elementary Schools.

Item 20.4: Report, Discussion and Possible Action to Approve Resolution No. 2011-12.05 Establishing and Affirming Statutory Mitigation Fees and Mitigation Amounts on New Construction
- Report by Luz T. Cázares

Individuals and entities conducting new construction within the Pleasanton Unified School District are required to mitigate their impact on school facilities. The District works collaboratively with the development community to ensure that new construction mitigates its impact on schools. Over the course of several years, mitigation requirements have been embodied in several forms and summarized in the attached table of applicable school mitigation requirements. In light of the effects of the current economic downturn on new construction and the results of the District's most recent

school facilities needs analysis, the District wished to reduce, in certain circumstances, the school impact amounts currently required by the mitigation program.

Action on Item 20.4: The Board voted unanimously to accept the report and to approve Resolution 2011-12.05 Establishing and Affirming Statutory Mitigation Fees and Mitigation Amounts on New Construction.

Item 20.5: Report, Discussion and Possible Action to Approve Resolution No. 2011-12.15 Waive Board Policy 3130 and Accept Conditional Gift by Pleasanton Schools Educational Enrichment (PSEE) Foundation.

– Report by Luz T. Cázares

Board Policy 3130 states that “gifts to the District will not be accepted by the Board with any conditions of use attached.” PSEE has offered to make a gift to the District of approximately \$800,000. The gift is subject to certain conditions of use which are embodied within a letter from PSEE. The condition of use are not overly burdensome and the gift amount may be substantial enough that the Board wishes to accept the conditional gift. Resolution No. 2011-12.15 would waive the application of Board Policy 3130 for this particular gift and permit the District to accept the gift subject to the conditions of use in PSEE’s letter.

Action on Item 20.5: The Board voted unanimously to accept the report and to approve Resolution 2011-12.15 Waive Board Policy 3130 and Accept Conditional Gift by Pleasanton Schools Educational Enrichment (PSEE) Foundation.

Item 20.6: Report, Discussion and Possible Action to Award the Demographic Study Request for Quotation.

– Report by Luz T. Cázares

On November 3, 2011, staff sent out a Request for Quotation (RFQ) to fourteen (14) firms on the vendor list for demographic studies. Staff received quotes from three (3) firms: Davis Demographics & Planning; Decision Insite; and Jack Schreder & Associates.

The quotes and supporting documentation were reviewed on November 30 by a committee of five PUSD staff members representing Business Services (1), Fiscal Services (1), Pupil Services (2), and Purchasing (1). The committee focused on several specific areas that were included in the RFQ. These included birth rate analysis; current and future land use; student generation factors; other demographics; enrollment forecast, timelines; cost; and tools available for District use. After discussion and review of all three proposals, the committee came to consensus, references were checked, and staff is recommending the selection of Davis Demographics & Planning to create a demographic report for the District at a cost of \$28,550.

The review committee felt that Davis Demographics & Planning has a track record of being accurate in their projections. They felt that the methodology the firm uses has proven strong and will yield useful results. The firm will provide mapping of and research about potential residential development. Enrollment projections will be provided by grade for each study area, school, and district for a ten-year period, along with an update of the 2009 Student Yield Factors. In addition, the firm will provide the SchoolSite Locater™ tool for one year to enable web browser access to maps, student data, and attendance boundaries.

Davis Demographics has more than 20 years experience in K-12 demographic forecasting and planning. The firm was selected to provide the 2009 demographic study for Pleasanton Unified and is familiar with the community. The 2009 study has proven to be very useful.

Staff anticipates that the report will be presented to the Board in February.

Action on Item 20.6: The Board voted 4 to 1 to award the Demographic Study Request for Quotation.

Item 20.7: Report, Discussion, and Possible Action to Address CSBA's Official 2012 Call for Nominations for CSBA Delegate Assembly – Subregion 7-B
– Report by Parvin Ahmadi

Any CSBA member board is eligible to nominate board members within their geographical region or subregion. Each Board may nominate as many individuals as it chooses. Nomination Forms and a required biographical sketch must be submitted by Monday, January 9, 2012.

CSBA Delegates serve two-year terms. Those elected in 2012 will serve beginning April 1, 2012 through March 31, 2014. There are two required Delegate Assembly meetings each year, one in May prior to CSBA's Legislative Action Conference in Sacramento and one preceding the CSBA Annual Education Conference in November/December. Delegates are required to attend these two meetings each year. In accordance with CSBA Bylaws, CSBA does not pay travel expenses associated with Delegate Assembly meetings.

Action on Item 20.7: The Board voted unanimously to nominate Trustee Arkin as the CSBA Delegate Assembly – Subregion 7-B.

Item 20.8: Report, Discussion and Possible Action to Approve Donations
– Report by Parvin Ahmadi

Approval of the following donations:

20.8.1 Approval of \$25.00 donation to Harvest Park Middle School for their Thanksgiving Community Outreach from Ms. Teresa Brown.

20.8.2 Approval of \$2,500 donation to Project Lead the Way from Property Development Centers and Safeway, Inc.

Action on Item 20.8: The Board voted unanimously to approve Donations.

Item 20.9: Report, Discussion, and Possible Action to Approve a Single Subject (Adapted Physical Education) Credential Waiver Application
– Report by Bill Faraghan

The District must ensure that all certificated employees are appropriately credentialed. Per Education Code Section 44830(a), the Board of Trustees may approve a waiver application to be submitted to the Commission on Teacher Credentialing (CCTC) for an applicant when a fully credentialed teacher is not available.

Ms. Bammer is a current student at San Jose State University, pursuing her California Adapted Physical Education Specialist Credential. Ms. Bammer is adding this credential to her existing Single Subject Physical Education Credential for the state. Ms. Bammer anticipates completing all of the

required coursework by the end of May, 2012, and will submit to the CCTC for her APE Specialist Credential at that time.

Action on Item 20.9: The Board voted unanimously to approve a Single Subject (Adapted Physical Education) Credential Waiver Application

Item 20.10: Report, Discussion and Possible Action to Approve the Personnel Document
– Report by Bill Faraghan

The Board must approve all personnel actions regarding employment. The personnel document specifies each area of action.

Action on Item 20.10: The Board voted unanimously to approve the Personnel Document

Next Board of Trustees meeting is January 10, 2011.

The entire Board agenda and packet, including any presentations are available online at www.pleasanton.k12.ca.us/BoardofTrustees/MeetingsAgendas.cfm

*Board Briefs are compiled by Management Assistant, Nicole Steward, MSW (nsteward@pleasanton.k12.ca.us) as a brief update of Board meeting actions and are not a word-for-word transcription. Minutes for this Board meeting will be posted as soon as they are available.